

## Preliminary communication

### The reactions of tin(II) halides with $[(\pi\text{-Ring})\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2$ complexes (Ring = $\text{C}_5\text{H}_5$ , $\text{MeC}_5\text{H}_4$ , or $\text{C}_9\text{H}_7$ )

P. HACKETT and A.R. MANNING<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Chemistry, University College, Belfield, Dublin 4 (Ireland)

(Received November 8th, 1971)

Bonati and Wilkinson showed that tin(II) chloride undergoes an oxidative addition with  $[(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2$  to give  $[(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2\text{SnCl}_2$ <sup>1</sup>. Since then many related reactions have been described which give complexes of this type as the sole product<sup>2</sup>.

We now report that  $[(\pi\text{-Ring})\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2$  derivatives (Ring =  $\text{C}_5\text{H}_5$ ,  $\text{MeC}_5\text{H}_4$ , or  $\text{C}_9\text{H}_7$ ) react with  $\text{SnX}_2$  (X = F, Cl, Br, or I) to give  $(\pi\text{-Ring})\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{X}$ ,  $(\pi\text{-Ring})\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{SnX}_3$ ,  $[(\pi\text{-Ring})\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2\text{SnX}_2$ , or  $[(\pi\text{-Ring})\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_3\text{SnX}$  depending on X, the  $\pi$ -bonded ring, the mole ratio of reactants, and the reaction conditions.

For example, when  $\text{SnBr}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $[(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2$  (I) (mole ratio = 10/1) are heated in methanol, tetrahydrofuran, or benzene, (I) is consumed rapidly to give  $(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{Br}$  (II) and  $(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{SnBr}_3$  (III) in comparable amounts. These are the only products, and both have been isolated. During longer reaction times (II) is converted to (III) so that this is the final product (yield > 70%).

When  $\text{SnBr}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and (I) are used in equimolar quantities, the principal final product is  $[(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2\text{SnBr}_2$  (IV). It appears to arise from the reaction  $(\text{I}) + (\text{III}) \rightarrow (\text{II}) + (\text{IV})$  rather than by a direct insertion of  $\text{SnBr}_2$  into the Fe-Fe bond of (I). This type of reaction has been used to prepare mixed complexes *e.g.*  $[(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2$ - $[(\pi\text{-MeC}_5\text{H}_4)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]\text{SnBr}_2$  (V), and  $[(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2$ - $[(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3]\text{SnCl}_2$  (VI)<sup>3</sup>, and although rather slow in the three solvents quoted, it is much more rapid in refluxing xylene or light petroleum (100–120°). These solvents have the added advantage that at ca. 120° the second product, *e.g.* (II), decomposes.

Similar results have been obtained for the methylcyclopentadienyl and indenyl complexes, and for reactions with tin(II) iodide although reaction rates differ. However with  $\text{SnCl}_2$  or  $\text{SnF}_2$ , (I) yields little or no mono-iron compounds comparable to (II) or (III). Even with large excesses of these tin(II) salts,  $[(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2\text{SnCl}_2$  (VII) or  $[(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2\text{SnF}_2$  (VIII) are the only important products (yields 90 and 80% respectively).

If an excess of the dimer, *e.g.* (I), is used, a further reaction occurs to give  $[(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_3\text{SnCl}$  (IX) or its fluoro analogue (X). It is slow, but takes place more rapidly in xylene. This type of reaction may be used to prepare mixed complexes such as  $[(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2$ - $[(\pi\text{-MeC}_5\text{H}_4)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]\text{SnCl}$  (XI), and  $[(\pi\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2]_2$ - $[(\pi\text{-MeC}_5\text{H}_4)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2][\text{Bu}_3\text{PCo}(\text{CO})_3]\text{SnCl}$  (XII).

TABLE I  
MELTING POINTS AND INFRARED SPECTRA (1700–2100  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) OF SOME COMPOUNDS DESCRIBED IN THE TEXT

Compound	M.p. <sup>a</sup> (°C)	Absorption bands <sup>b</sup>			
IV	181–182 (d)	1955(2.6)	1975(5.3)	1999(5.5)	2025(10)
V	122–125	1953(2.5)	1972(5.9)	2000(5.6)	2022(10)
VII	168–169	1954(2.9)	1974(5.4)	2000(5.4)	2024(10)
VIII	175–177	1955(4.1)	1974(7.5)	1999(7.5)	2025(10)
VI	154–156	1916(4.6)	1921(sh)	1976(4.5)	2024(7.4)
IX	Dec. 220	1933(sh)	1937(3.5)	1985(10)	2011(2.9)
X	228–229 (d)	1930(sh)	1934(4.1)	1976(3.9)	2010(2.3)
XI	156–159	1933(sh)	1938(3.3)	1974(4.3)	2010(3.1)
XII	106–108	1928(3.8)	1946(10)	1986(10)	2016(3.8)
			1951(sh)	1991(9.4)	
			1960(2.5)	1982(sh)	
			1973(4.2)	2003(1.8)	
			1980(6.0)	2003(2.4)	

<sup>a</sup>(d) = melts with decomposition; Dec. = decomposes without melting. <sup>b</sup> $\text{CS}_2$  solution; peak positions ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) with relative peak heights in parentheses.

These reactions often give high yields of the products, and provide a useful synthetic pathway to polymetallic transition metal derivatives of tin, and, perhaps, of other non-transition elements. Many compounds are new *e.g.* (VIII)–(XII), and are probably difficult to prepare in any other way. Melting points and infrared spectra of some of the complexes are given in Table 1.

## REFERENCES

- 1 F. Bonati and G. Wilkinson, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1964) 179.
- 2 J.F. Young, *Advan. Inorg. Radiochem.*, 11 (1968) 91.
- 3 F. Bonati, S. Cenini and R. Ugo, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, (1967) 932.

*J. Organometal. Chem.*, 34 (1972)